	$\rule{0mm}{2mm}\rule{0mm}{2mm}$
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
3	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case 1:17-cr-00284
4	Plaintiff,)
5	v.) Alexandria, Virginia) May 24, 2018
6	CHRISTOPHER ROBERT SUEIRO,) 2:01 p.m.
7	Defendant.)) Pages 1 - 10
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF GOVERNMENT'S SECOND MOTION FOR MENTAL
10	EXAMINATION TO DETERMINE DEFENDANT'S COMPETENCE TO
11	STAND TRIAL
12	AND
13	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR A FARETTA HEARING
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANTHONY J. TRENGA
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES
	Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599

```
2
1
   APPEARANCES:
   FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
3
        JAMES E. BURKE, ESQUIRE
        MAYA D. SONG, ESQUIRE
        OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
4
        2100 Jamieson Avenue
5
        Alexandria, Virginia 22314
         (703) 299-3700
6
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
7
        ELIZABETH A. MULLIN, ESQUIRE
8
        OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
        1650 King Street, Suite 500
9
        Alexandria, Virginia 22314
         (703) 600-0800
10
        ELSBETH J. BENNETT, ESQUIRE
11
        CLEARY GOTTLIEB
        2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
12
        Washington, D.C.
                           20006
         (202) 974-1500
13
   THE DEFENDANT, CHRISTOPHER ROBERT SUEIRO, IN PERSON
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

(703) 299-4599

```
THE CLERK: Criminal Case 1:17-cr-284, United
1
2
   States of America v. Christopher Robert Sueiro.
3
             Will counsel please identify themselves for
4
   the record.
5
             MR. BURKE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
  Burke and Maya Song on behalf of the United States.
6
7
             MS. MULLIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
  Elizabeth Mullin and Elsbeth Bennett on behalf of
8
9
  Mr. Sueiro.
10
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11
             We're here on two motions, the government's
12 second motion for a mental examination and the
13
  defendant's motion to proceed pro se which I've
14
  reviewed. I'm going to take up the defendant's motion
  first.
15
16
             THE DEFENDANT: I'm challenging jurisdiction.
17
             THE COURT: Have a seat, Mr. Sueiro.
18
             THE DEFENDANT: I can say it from sitting
19
  down too.
20
             THE COURT: Hold on a moment.
21
             Ms. Mullin --
22
             THE DEFENDANT: There are several case laws
23
   that say that jurisdiction can be challenged at any
2.4
   time. I'm challenging jurisdiction at this time.
25
             THE COURT: Let me ask you: Do you want to
```

Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA

```
put anything on the record before we proceed with the
  Faretta hearing on the defendant's motion?
 3
             MS. MULLIN: No, Your Honor. With respect to
4
   the government's motion, I would just stand by the
  Court's previous ruling, that Mr. Sueiro is competent
5
  to stand trial, and ask the Court to proceed with the
6
7
  Faretta hearing.
             THE COURT: All right.
8
9
             THE DEFENDANT: I just --
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Sueiro, please be quiet.
11
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I have a right to
12
  challenge -- jurisdiction can be challenged at any
13
  time.
14
             THE COURT: Mr. Sueiro, I understand that you
  want to represent yourself. Is that correct? Is that
15
16
  correct?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: Right now I'm trying to
18
  challenge jurisdiction as is my right to do.
19
             THE COURT: Well, I'm asking you: Do you
  still want to pursue your motion to be represented by
21 yourself without a lawyer?
22
             THE DEFENDANT: And I am answering your
  \parallelquestion by saying that, as says it says in Basso v.
24 Utah Power Light Company, jurisdiction may be
25
  challenged at any time, and I am challenging
```

```
jurisdiction.
1
2
             THE COURT: First of all, Mr. Sueiro, would
 3
  you come to the podium, please.
4
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                                   I was wanting to do
5
  that anyway.
6
             THE COURT: The first order of business is
7
  Ifor the Court to determine whether you should be
  allowed to represent yourself as you have requested
  through counsel in your motion. Do you want to proceed
  with that motion?
10
11
             THE DEFENDANT: As I said, I am challenging
12
  jurisdiction at this time.
13
             THE COURT: Well, I am not going to take that
  up until we determine who is going to represent you,
15
  whether you're going to represent yourself or whether
  you're going to be represented through counsel.
             THE DEFENDANT: Well, this --
17
18
             THE COURT: We're going to proceed in that
19
  fashion, sir. Now, listen to me.
20
             THE DEFENDANT: Right. This case law says
21
  igurisdiction can be challenged at any time.
22
  another one, Main v. Thiboutot, says the law provides
  that once state and federal jurisdiction has been
23
  challenged, it must be proven. There is no delay in
25
  that.
```

```
THE COURT: Sir, do you understand what I'm
 1
 2
  saying?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT: I do not understand what
 4 you're saying, but I do clearly overstand everything
 5
  you have said and ever will say to me.
 6
             As the law requires, I am challenging
 7
  ∥jurisdiction. Once it is challenged, it must be proven
  and cannot be assumed. There are other case law that
 9
  state that as well.
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Sueiro, do you understand
11 why --
12
             THE DEFENDANT: Stuck v. Medical Examiner
13 says challenged --
14
             THE CLERK: Mr. Sueiro --
             THE DEFENDANT: -- jurisdiction cannot be
15
16 assumed. It must be proven to exist.
17
             THE COURT: -- do you understand why you're
18
  in court here today?
19
             THE DEFENDANT: I do not, but I do overstand
20 everything you say, as I said.
21
             THE COURT: All right.
22
             THE DEFENDANT: There is no discretion to
  lignore lack of jurisdiction, Joyce v. U.S., and it
24 goes on and on.
25
             There's also Title 5 U.S.C. § 556(d), which
     Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599
```

```
states once jurisdiction is challenged, the burden of
  proof is on the government.
2
 3
             Very clearly these case laws --
 4
        (Ms. Mullin and the defendant confer.)
5
             THE DEFENDANT: I know what he's asking, and
   I'm answering in this fashion.
6
7
             These case laws state very clearly that once
  ∥jurisdiction is challenged -- and it can be challenged
  at any time. As it says right here in Basso v. Utah
  Power Light Company, it must be proven, and it cannot
11
  igust be assumed. I have now decided to challenge
12 | jurisdiction at this time. So, therefore, that
13
  obligates you by law to answer my challenge of
14
  ∥jurisdiction first and foremost before any other court
  proceedings can take place. That puts a halt on any
15
  and all court proceedings unless and until jurisdiction
17
  can be proven.
18
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sueiro, I will
19
  tell you that the Court has jurisdiction. To the
20
  extent the Court entertains that motion, the Court
21
  finds it has jurisdiction.
22
             Are you now ready to proceed?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: I have to read this because
  what you said is actually not correct there,
25
  Mr. Trenga. It says in Rescue Army v. Municipal Court
```

```
of Los Angeles a court has no jurisdiction to determine
  its own jurisdiction for a basic issue in any case
  before a tribunal is its power to act. A court must
  have the authority to decide that question in the first
5
  linstance.
6
             So what you just attempted to do there,
7
  Mr. Trenga, cannot actually be done by law.
        (Ms. Mullin and the defendant confer.)
8
9
             THE DEFENDANT: I got this. If you recall,
  Ms. Mullin, you actually were fired back on May 10. So
  I don't need your advice. I can handle this. Thank
12 ∥you. I appreciate it, but I'm competent.
13
             THE COURT: All right. Have a seat,
  Mr. Sueiro.
15
             THE DEFENDANT: And I have a motion to
  dismiss based on that, and I can go into further detail
  if it is needed.
17
18
             THE COURT: All right.
19
             THE DEFENDANT: I have plenty more to speak
20
  of.
21
             THE COURT: Based on Mr. Sueiro's responses
22
  Ito the Court's questions and his general demeanor, as
  well as the substance of his --
2.4
             THE DEFENDANT: My Sixth Amendment right to
25
  speedy trial has been violated --
```

```
1
             THE COURT: -- as well as the substance of
2
  his responses --
 3
             THE DEFENDANT: -- and my challenge of
4
   jurisdiction must be proven at this point. You must
5
  prove that my Sixth Amendment right to speedy trial has
  not been violated or else my challenge of jurisdiction
7
  stands and my motion to dismiss must lawfully be
8
  granted.
9
             THE COURT: Mr. Sueiro, I don't want to
  direct the marshals to forcibly keep you quiet. I ask
11
   that you cease right now from speaking while the Court
12 lis speaking.
13
             THE DEFENDANT: I am only asserting my
  rights, Mr. Trenga.
15
                         In any event, the Court finds
             THE COURT:
  based on the defendant's responses and appearance here
17
  today that he should be committed for --
18
             THE DEFENDANT: Motion to dismiss --
19
             THE COURT: He should be further committed
20
   for a psychiatric examination in order to determine
21
  whether he's competent to stand trial and that he
22
  appreciates the consequences of the proceedings against
  him and whether he's competent to assist in his defense
  either pro se or to properly assist his lawyer.
25
             THE DEFENDANT: US v. Willis, he or she does
```

```
1 not have a jurisdiction to act when judges engage in an
2 act or acts of treason. Do you intend to betray the
3 country in this way, Mr. Trenga, knowing the law and
4 still choosing to ignore it?
5
             THE COURT: All right. The Court will issue
  that order.
6
7
             MR. BURKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
8
             THE DEFENDANT: When violating a
9
  constitutional right to my ability --
10
             THE COURT: Counsel is excused, and the
  defendant is remanded and committed for further
12 psychiatric examination.
13
             THE DEFENDANT: And I will sue you.
14
                       Time: 2:09 p.m.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
        I certify that the foregoing is a true and
22
    accurate transcription of my stenographic notes.
23
2.4
25
                            Rhonda F. Montgomery, CCR, RPR
```